

Let's go to the review of the WFD

Jean-Marie QUÉMÉNER

**Water, Marine, Nature
Directorate**

International coordination

Lourdes – 20 octobre 2016



Crédit photo : © Thierry DEGEN/METL-MEDDE



Ministère de l'Écologie, du Développement durable et de l'Énergie



www.developpement-durable.gouv.fr

WFD, where are we ?



Good status in 2027 ?

Comparison of water bodies



Towards a review in « *Thoughtstarter* »

1. What will be beyond 2027 ?
2. Communication on progress : one out all out ?
3. Public participation ?
4. Cost recovery ?
5. Chemicals ?
6. Clever monitoring better integrated with other directives
7. Harmonization of the directives : timing, ambitions...

Check up

<i>Strengths</i>	<i>Weaknesses</i>
- Basin management, - Cost recovery, - Planification, - Wellbeing of the ecosystem, - clear objectives.	- High level of complexity, - Bad assessment at the starting point, - No assessment of the progress done.
<i>Opportunities</i>	<i>Threats</i>
- Make the implementation easier, - Mainstreaming of the WFD objectives : agriculture, energy, transportation... - Take into account the climate change.	- Infringement risks, - Political debate : loss of the environmental l'ambition, - Timeframe to get the results of the measures taken, - Mobilization of the stakeholders

France : a carefully approach

- ***An adverse european context :***
 - Economics,
 - Migrants crisis...
- ***Stand back from technical problems :***
 - Responsibility of the Member States vs European Union,
 - The entire solution won't come from Brussels,
 - Subsidiarity principle.
 - Provide a new momentum to the european wter policy :
 - Give a political vision,
 - Remind the global objectives : water for all, in quantity, in a good quality at the lower price and sustainably managed,
 - New tools : european funds, innovative projects...

jean-marie.quemener @developpement-
durable.gouv.fr